Filing Date: January 29, 2004

Response to Office Action

Page 4 of 7

REMARKS

In response to the non-final Office Action mailed April 25, 2005, the Attorney for

the Assignee submits the appended amendments and remarks. Claims 20-29 are pending

in the present application. Claims 1-19, have been withdrawn, and claims 30-40 have

been withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner. Claims 20-29 have been amended

in the present response. The present amendment and response traverses all of the prior

Office Action rejections, and allowance of the pending claims is kindly requested.

REJECTION OF CLAIM 24 UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112 I.

The Office Action rejected claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd Paragraph as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Claim 24 has been corrected to depend from claim 23 rather than claim 20. The

rejection of claim 24 is traversed.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 20, 24-26, and 28 UNDER 35 U.S.C. 102 II.

The Office Action rejected claims 20, 24-26, and 28 under 37 C.F.R. § 102(b) as

being unpatentable over Bullock (U.S. Patent No. 6,089,802). Furthermore, the Office

Action rejected claims 20, and 24-27 under 37 C.F.R. § 102(b) as being unpatentable

over Blatt (U.S. Patent No. 4,264,251). Both rejections are respectfully traversed.

Bullock relates to a cargo restraint system, in particular, a strip 24 with release

paper 34 applied to adhesive coatings on one side of the strip. Bullock does not

disclose or suggest the use of a strap system with a "strap" and "a separate patch" to

restrain freight as in the Applicant's claimed invention. Rather, Bullock discloses a

single strip with adhesive coatings, but no patch.

ATLLIB01 2053310.1

Filing Date: January 29, 2004

Response to Office Action

Page 5 of 7

Likewise, Blatt relates to a bulkheading construction with a sheet material

having an adhesively securable ends as shown in Figure 3. Blatt does not disclose or

suggest the use of a strap system with a "strap" and "a separate patch" to restrain

freight as in the Applicant's claimed invention. Instead, Blatt discloses a single sheet

of material with adhesively securable ends, but no patch.

Independent claim 20 has been amended by the present response. Amended claim

20 recites "A strap system for restraining freight, comprising: a. a first layer

a separate patch" (underlining comprising a first end and a second end; and b.

supplied). Neither Bullock nor Blatt disclose or suggest both a strap, and a separate

patch. The Office Action states that "the end of the strap is considered to be the

patch." However, the amended claim element "separate patch" specifically describes

a separate component from the strap. Therefore, neither Bullock nor Blatt disclose all

of the elements of amended claim 20. Thus, amended claim 20 should be allowable

over the cited references.

Moreover, dependent claims 24-28 are ultimately dependent from amended

independent claim 20 for which arguments of patentability have already been advanced

Therefore, neither Bullock nor Blatt disclose each and every element of above.

Applicant's dependent claims 24-28, and these claims should also be patentable over the

cited art.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 21-23, and 29 UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103 III.

The Examiner rejected claims 21-23, and 29 under 37 C.F.R. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Bullock in view of Epstein (U.S. Patent No. 6,478,229). Arguments

ATLLIB01 2053310.1

Filing Date: January 29, 2004 Response to Office Action

Page 6 of 7

for patentability with respect to at least the primary reference Bullock were advanced

above for amended independent claim 20. Since claims 21-23 and 29 are ulitmately

dependent from claim 20, these claims should also be allowable over the cited reference.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Filing Date: January 29, 2004

Response to Office Action

Page 7 of 7

CONCLUSION

Claims 20-29 are pending in the application. The Office Action rejections are

believed to be traversed by the present amendment and response. Claims 20-29 should

now be in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact

the undersigned attorney of record at (404) 815-6048 if such contact will facilitate a

Notice of Allowance for claims 20-29. If any additional fees are due, the Commissioner

is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit

Account No. 11-0855.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher J. Chan

Reg. No. 44,070

Attorney for Assignee

DATE: 25 JULY 2005

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

Suite 2800

1100 Peachtree Street, N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530

Main: (404) 815-6500

Fax: (404) 815-6555

ATLLIB01 2053310.1